

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,693	06/20/2003	Michael E. Leman	WEAT/0389	6706
36735	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	TTERSON & SHERIDA	KRAMER, DEAN J		
	3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056-6582			PAPER NUMBER
,			3652	
			D 4 TE 3 4 4 H ED 402/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
(10/600,693	LEMAN ET AL.			
`	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Dean J. Kramer	3652			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploation of the provision of	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set otion is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) 🛭 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/31/03; 10/5/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 7-13 and 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no clear antecedent basis for "the gripping member" (claims 7, 8, 15, and 22) or "the at least one port" (claim 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Justice et al..

The patent to Justice et al. shows a retrieving tool comprising an elongated housing (2,3,5), three slip members (10), a hydraulically actuated piston (16,22,23), tangs (14) for attaching the slip members to the piston, and wickers (12).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7-13, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Triplett.

Triplett shows an overshot tool comprising an elongated housing having a tubular extension (17), a central body portion (18), and an upper body portion (23); a gripping

Art Unit: 3652

apparatus (12); a piston (26); and a stop ring (33). Regarding claims 11 and 12, the Triplett device is deemed *capable* of gripping any "fish" that at least has a portion that fits within part (18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 5, 6, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justice et al. in view of Taylor (U.S. Pat. No. 5,765,638).

The Taylor ('638) patent shows a plurality of slip members (16) each having multiple ramp surfaces cooperatively engaging multiple ramp surfaces on the inner surface of the tool's body.

Art Unit: 3652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide multiple ramp surfaces on each of the Justice et al. slip members (10) as taught by Taylor in order to create a larger gripping area along the

vertical dimension of the tool allowing a more secure grip of the item being retrieved.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

9. Claims 16-28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under

35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Drawings

10. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5)

because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the

description: "134" and "138". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR

1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures

appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be

labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37

CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3652

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roark and Elliston both show piston actuated slip members.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dean J. Kramer

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

. ...

djk 3/14/05